



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 08/579,733 | 12/28/1995 | HIROSHI NOBUTA | 862.1351 | 4611 | | |
| 5514 | 7590 08/23/2005 | EXAMINER | | | | |
| FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO | | | WALLERSO | WALLERSON, MARK E | | |
| | ELLER PLAZA | ADTIBUT | DARED MUADED | | | |
| NEW YORK, NY 10112 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| | | | 2626 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-------------------|---------------|--|--|
| 08/579,733 | NOBUTA ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Mark E. Wallerson | 2626 | | |

| | Advisory Action | 00/3/9,733 | NOBOTA LT AL. | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---------------------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | | |
| | | Mark E. Wallerson | 2626 | | | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | | |
| | THE REPLY FILED 20 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | | |
| | a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of | | | | | | | | |
| M | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o | f the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| | Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | | RST REPLY WAS FILE | OWT NIHTIW C | | | | | |
| | Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| | NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | | |
| | AMENDMENTS 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brie | f will not be entered b | 20031150 | | | | | |
| İ | (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | | Decause | | | | | |
| ı | (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | ow); | ,. | | | | | | |
| | (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | | |
| | (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | | jected claims. | | | | | | |
| ١ | NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | | | | | | |
| | 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| | 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a | · · | Aimaly filed amondus | | | | | | |
| | the non-allowable claim(s). | • | • | _ | | | | | |
| | 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ill be entered and an | explanation of | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>24,27,29,58,59 and 62-67</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) rejected: 24,27,29,56,59 and 62-67. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| | AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| | 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good are and use not action presented. See 37 CFB 4 148(c) | | | | | | | | |
| | and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | a Notice of Anneal, but prior to the | a date of filing a brief | will not be | | | | | |
| | 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | | | | | | | | |
| | 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. | | | | | | | | |
| | REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | , | • | | | | | | |
| | 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | n does NOT place the application I | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | | |
| | 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 of PTO=1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other: | | | | | | | | |
| | MARK WALLERSON Mark E. Wallerson | | | | | | | | |
| | PRIMA | RY EXAMINER | Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2626 | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments made to independent claims 24, 27, 63, 64, and 67 require further search and/or consideration..